## **San Francisco State University**

### **A Summary of Student Engagement Results**

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

## **Comparison Group**

The comparison group featured in this report is

#### **CSU Campuses**

See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2023 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

#### **Engagement Indicators** Your students compared with **CSU Campuses** Sets of items are grouped into ten Theme **Engagement Indicator** First-year Senior Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right **Higher-Order Learning** are summary results for your institution. For details, see your **Reflective & Integrative Learning** Academic Engagement Indicators report. Challenge **Learning Strategies** $\nabla$ Key: **Quantitative Reasoning** Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least **Collaborative Learning** .3 in magnitude. Learning with Peers Your students' average was significantly **Discussions with Diverse Others** $\triangle$ higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. No significant difference. **Student-Faculty Interaction** Experiences with Faculty Your students' average was significantly **Effective Teaching Practices** lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. **Quality of Interactions** Your students' average was significantly Campus lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least Environment .3 in magnitude. **Supportive Environment**

### **High-Impact Practices**


Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

#### First-year

Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

#### Senior

Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience






## **San Francisco State University**

### **Academic Challenge: Additional Results**

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder.


### **Time Spent Preparing for Class**


This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.



### **Reading and Writing**


These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.






### **Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work**

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



### **Academic Emphasis**

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."





## **San Francisco State University**

### **Item Comparisons**

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions<sup>a</sup> on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals, or equally available to all students. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

#### First-year

### **Highest Performing Relative to CSU Campuses**

Discussions with...People from countries other than your own

About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)?<sup>e</sup> (HIP)

Assigned more than 50 pages of writing<sup>g</sup>

Discussions with... People of races or ethnicities other than your own (DD)

Included diverse perspectives (...) in course discussions or assignments<sup>b</sup> (RI)

### **Lowest Performing Relative to CSU Campuses**


Institution emphasis on attending campus activities and events (...)<sup>c</sup> (SE)

Quality of interactions with student services staff (...)<sup>d</sup> (QI)

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class

Institution emphasis on studying and academic work<sup>c</sup>

Extent to which courses challenged you to do your best work<sup>d</sup>



Percentage Point Difference with CSU Campuses

#### Senior

#### **Highest Performing Relative to CSU Campuses**

Discussions with...People from countries other than your own

Included diverse perspectives (...) in course discussions or assignments<sup>b</sup> (RI)

Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds...<sup>c</sup> (SE)

Discussions with...People with sexual orientations other than your own

Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (...)<sup>b</sup> (QR)

### **Lowest Performing Relative to CSU Campuses**


Quality of interactions with students<sup>d</sup> (QI)

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class

Institution emphasis on studying and academic work<sup>c</sup>

Completed a culminating senior experience (...) (HIP)

Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placemt. (HIP)



Percentage Point Difference with CSU Campuses

a. The items on this page come from the Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), Sense of Belonging (SB), the academic challenge questions on page 2, and four additional questions about effective teaching (new in 2021). Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment.

b. Combination of students responding "very often" or "often."

c. Combination of students responding "very much" or "quite a bit."

d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

e. Percentage reporting at least "some."

f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.

g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

h. Combination of students responding "strongly agree" or "agree."



## **San Francisco State University**

### **How Students Assess Their Experience**


Students' perceptions of certain aspects of cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

### **Perceived Gains Among Seniors**

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

### **Satisfaction with SF State**

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



### **Administration Details**

### **Response Summary**

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	528	13%	65%	91%
Senior	1,133	15%	63%	78%

See your Administration Summary and Respondent Profile reports for more information.

#### **Additional Questions**

Your institution did not choose to administer additional questions. In future administrations, you may customize NSSE by participating in a topical module or a consortium. See our website for more information. nsse.indiana.edu

#### What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at nearly 1,700 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu

Prepared 2023-08-14 IPEDS: 122597